Sociology 111L Sociology of the Life Course

Instructor: Professor Linus Huang, Continuing Lecturer
Office hours:
  • in-person/drop-in: Mondays, 3:15-4:15 PM, 488 Social Sciences Building
  • Zoom: Tuesdays, 1-3 PM; sign up on Google Calendar
E-mail: lbhuang@berkeley.edu
Final exam: online, Tuesday, May 10 2022, 3-6 PM
Graduate Student Reader: Jessica Schirmer

Course Overview

Society is ordered by age. A life course perspective represents a sociological way of understanding how age structures society. Our lives progress through a sequence of socially constructed stages—childhood, adolescence, middle adulthood, and later adulthood. A life course perspective is particularly interested in the rules and norms that govern transitions between these stages. How do you know when you have become an “adult” in the U.S.? How is the answer to this question different today than in the mid-20th century? How is it different for affluent vs. impoverished persons? Men vs. women? Immigrants vs. U.S.-born? Blacks vs. Whites? Urban- vs. rural-based adolescents? What does this story look like in the U.S. vs. in other industrialized nations?

And when we finally leave the workforce and transition into retirement, where will our incomes come from when we’re no longer receiving a company paycheck? Where will our medical insurance come from? Should eligibility for federal programs like Social Security and Medicare be based on chronological age alone, or should these benefits be targeted more narrowly at those most in need—perhaps regardless of age altogether? Will entitlement programs like Social Security still be financially solvent in 40 or so years when many of you will be nearing retirement? If not, will you have to work far longer into your older years than the Baby Boomer generation nearing retirement today?

Is old age a time of individual leisure (for those fortunate enough to be able to afford it)? If so, is this because society has largely declined to offer older people a positive, productive role to play? The family is the main institution where people from different generations are socially integrated. But other social institutions—especially school, but to a certain degree the world of work as well—are more age-segregated. What might a society look like that is more age-integrated rather than age-segregated? Would such a society be more desirable?

The course will begin with childhood and adolescence. We will examine how inequality in childhood “accumulates” and gets re-produced in young adulthood, independent of other factors. We will then turn to the transition from adolescence to adulthood. Traditionally, markers such as entering the paid workforce and marriage have signaled the arrival of adulthood. For a variety of reasons, achievement of these milestones are increasingly delayed for adolescents today. Are we remaining adolescents longer than before? Or do we re-define what it means to be an “adult”?
In middle adulthood, we build our own families, and enter the workforce and develop our careers. Compared to people in other Western societies, Americans are far more likely to marry, divorce, re-marry, re-divorce, etc.—what sociologist Andrew Cherlin calls “the marriage go-round”. Why is the U.S. unusual in this regard, and what should we do about it? With the rise of dual-earner households as women are ever more likely to develop their own careers in the paid workforce, how can Americans achieve a balance between work and family?

The majority of the course will focus on our later adulthood. We will examine debates surrounding welfare, health care, and inequality, as well as the institution of retirement—all of which are unfolding in the context of scarce public resources that some say have pit older generations against younger ones.

The course will operate at both a macroscopic level and a microscopic level in which we will be encouraged to cultivate what C. Wright Mills calls “the sociological imagination”—understanding how our personal biographies are products of social structure as well as of our individual personalities and circumstances. The course will provide both a foundation for further sociological study of aging but also practical knowledge about the ins and outs of everyday life throughout the duration of the life course.

Readings

All readings for this course will be made available in PDF format on the bCourses site. There are no textbooks or course readers to purchase.

In many cases reading assignments have been chosen that offer a basic story, but with in-depth details omitted. Lecture will be used to introduce material that explores the stories in the readings in greater depth. You will be responsible for both the material in the readings and the material presented in lecture.

Grading

Your grade will be determined by three different components:

- **Two midterm exams** (25% each, 50% total). Both will be take-home, “short answer” (think: 1 or 2 pages per question) exams. More details will be given later in the semester.

- **Interview paper** (25%). During the semester you will interview someone of your choosing, of your parents’ generation or of about 50 years of age, whichever is younger. You may not write about yourself. You will write an 8-12 page paper about how your interviewee has moved through their life course. More details about this paper will be forthcoming (you can check out the assignment entry on bCourses right now).

  This paper is due at the beginning of RRR week, on Monday, May 2, 2022, at 11:59 PM.

- **A final exam** (25%), which will be administered online as a bCourses quiz during the University’s official exam timeslot for this course: Tuesday, May 10, 2021, 3-6 PM. The
exam will be 100% multiple choice. It will cover material from the entire course (i.e., it will be cumulative), but it will emphasize material from the later parts of the course.

The course grading scale is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Score Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A+</td>
<td>97+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>93-96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>90-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>87-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>83-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>80-82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>77-79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>73-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>70-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+</td>
<td>67-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>63-66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-</td>
<td>60-62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0-59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When it comes time to compute overall course grades, I will round to the nearest whole number using standard rounding conventions—89.49 rounds down to 89, 89.50 rounds up to 90, etc. It doesn’t really matter what the letter grade on individual assignments are.

There are no other discretionary considerations, nor opportunities to earn extra credit on an individual basis, that will factor into your grade.

There are no surprises in how I calculate course grades. The GRADES section on bCourses will incorporate the weightings above and will accurately keep you apprised of your course progress. During the semester, with a little arithmetic, you can figure out how you need to do on subsequent assignments in order to earn a particular grade.

Late grade policy: Work submitted late will be marked down 20% for each 24-hour period the assignment is overdue.

Disabled Students Program (DSP)

DSP students should have their arrangements made by the third week of instruction. The DSP office will automatically send me a digital copy of your letter, with explanation of your accommodations. It will not be necessary to also provide me with a physical copy of the letter.

Recommendation Letters

Writing recommendation letters is part of an instructor’s job and one which I embrace readily. However, it is difficult for me, and unhelpful to all parties involved, to write letters for students I do not know very well. I can and have exercised the discretion to decline to write letters when I don’t know students beyond what grades they’ve earned in the course. If you anticipate asking me to write a letter, it is in your interest to participate in class discussion, visit me during my office hours, etc., and let me know what your plans and interests are above and beyond course material.

Academic Honesty & Classroom Conduct

The UC Berkeley Honor Code states that “As a member of the UC Berkeley community, I act with honesty, integrity, and respect for others” (https://teaching.berkeley.edu/berkeley-honor-
code). I expect you will follow these principles. You may not copy specific text or ideas from others, whether from fellow students, from authors of our readings or other material you find, without specific attribution. To do otherwise is to plagiarize. You may not cheat on any of the exams by bringing in illicit outside material, copying from fellow students, or engaging in other dishonest practices. Violation of these rules will result in an immediate -0- on the assignment in question, plus a report to the Office of Academic Affairs at my discretion.

You may of course discuss the lectures and readings with your fellow students. Forming studying groups on your own is encouraged, especially as there are no discussion sections to accompany this course. If these groups are used to struggle through ideas or debate topics (both are also good uses of class time, by the way!), then the effort expended can be very rewarding. However, if groups are used simply to memorize a classmate’s notes by rote, to subsequently recite on exams, **this is effectively another form of plagiarism** as far as I am concerned. I use this specific example because it has popped up in my courses before.

Study group meetings should be suspended during periods where a take-home exam is active. They can begin again after the exam due date has been reached.

**Additional Resources**

The University has set up a central online location with links to a variety of resources, both academic and non-academic, that students might need. Go here: One-Click Resources for Undergraduate Resources [https://recalibrate.berkeley.edu/one-click-mode/one-click-resources-undergraduate-students](https://recalibrate.berkeley.edu/one-click-mode/one-click-resources-undergraduate-students).

**Reading, Assignment, and Exam Schedule**

All readings listed below are required and should be completed prior to the first meeting of the indicated week.

**Week 1** *(Jan 19-24)* | Introduction: what is a sociology of the life course?

*No readings.*

---

**Part I: Childhood and Adolescence**

**Week 2** *(Jan 26-28)* | What does childhood mean to society?

*Reading:* Viviana Zelizer, *Pricing the Priceless Child: The Changing Social Value of Children*

---

**Week 3** *(Jan 31-Feb 4)* | How does what happens during childhood affect the transition to adulthood?

*Reading:* Annette Lareau, *Unequal Childhoods: Class, Race, and Family Life (Second Edition)*
Week 4 (Feb 7-11) | What pathways do young people take to adulthood?

Readings:
- Patrick J. Carr & Maria J. Kefalas, “Straight from the Heartland: Coming of Age in Ellis, Iowa”
- Linda Borgen & Rubén G. Rumbaut, “Coming of Age in ‘America’s Finest City’”

Part II: Middle Adulthood

Week 5 (Feb 14-16) | How do we get from college to a job?

Reading: Richard Arum & Josipa Roksa, Aspiring Adults Adrift

Midterm Exam #1 distributed Wednesday, February 16, 2022

Week 6 (Feb 18-23) | Does the institution of marriage need saving?

Note: Monday, February 21st 2021 is President’s Day (no class).

Midterm Exam #1 due Sunday, February 20, 2022

Readings:
- Richard V. Reeves, “How to Save Marriage in America” Brookings Feb 13 2014
- Andrew Cherlin, The Marriage-Go-Round chapters 1 & 8
- David Brooks, “The Nuclear Family Was a Mistake” The Atlantic Mar 2020

Week 7 (Feb 25-Mar 4) | How will you balance work and family?

Readings:
Part III: Old Age

Week 8 (Mar 7-11) | Is retirement obsolete?

Readings:
- Paula Span, “Many Americans Try Retirement, Then Change their Minds” The New York Times Mar 30 2018

Week 9 (Mar 14-18) | How do we make sense of old age?

Readings:
- Erik Erikson, Joan M. Erikson & Helen Q. Kivnick, Vital Involvement in Old Age: The Experience of Old Age in Our Time (1986)
- Clare Ansberry, “‘Magic’ Multigenerational Housing Aims to Alleviate Social Isolation” The Wall Street Journal Jan 10 2022
- Corey Abramson (2015), “Game-Day Strategies” (ch 3 from Abramson, The End Game)

March 21-25 Spring Break!

Week 10 (Mar 28-Apr 1) | Should families provide for their own?

Readings:
- Stephen Moses, “Aging America’s Achilles’ Heel: Medicaid Long-Term Care” Policy Analysis No. 549, Cato Institute, Washington DC Sep 1 2015

Midterm Exam #2 distributed Friday, April 1, 2022
Week 11 (Apr 4-8) | Should we ration health care for older people?

Midterm Exam #2 due Tuesday, April 5, 2022

Readings:
- Daniel Callahan, “Why We Must Set Limits” in P. Homer & M. Holstein, eds, A Good Old Age? The Paradox of Setting Limits (1990)

Week 12 (Apr 11-15) | How should people near the end of life be cared for?

Readings:

Week 13 (Apr 18-22) | Should age or need be the basis of entitlement?

Readings:
- Gary Burtless, “A Generational War Over the Budget? It’s Hard to See It In the Numbers” Brookings Dec 2 2015

Week 14 (Apr 25-29) | What is the future of Social Security?

Readings:
- C. Eugene Steuerle & Melissa Favreault, “Social Security for Yesterday’s Family?” Straight Talk on Social Security and Retirement Policy No. 35
May 2-6 Reading, Recitation and Review

Interview Paper due Monday, May 2, 2022 11:59 PM

Final Exam online Tuesday, May 10, 2022 3-6 PM